


## 2000.2267.3 Nachhaltiges Management natürlicher Ressourcen in Zentralvietnam



Name	Nachhaltiges Management natürlicher Ressourcen in Zentralvietnam
Period	2004
State	current
Size	
Sector	Forestry development
Country	Vietnam
Area	Department 2
Region	Asia

Interviews	Count	Ø Pair	Char.
GTZ	4	8.25	1.94
Counterpart	4	7.75	1.78
Target group	4	7.00	2.09

Success of project today	Success	Var.
Overall	61	17
view of GTZ	71	21
view of the counterparts	59	11
view of the target group	53	12

Expected future success	Success	Var.
Overall	73	6
view of GTZ	71	6
view of the counterparts	74	7

**2000.2267.3 Nachhaltiges Management natürlicher Ressourcen in Zentralvietnam**

<b>Matching (view of GTZ)</b>	<b>Accord.</b>	<b>Var.</b>
Project success from target group(s) view	88	12
Project success from counterpart view	87	13

<b>Matching (view of the counterparts)</b>	<b>Accord.</b>	<b>Var.</b>
Project success from target group(s) view	91	8

## 2000.2267.3 Nachhaltiges Management natürlicher Ressourcen in Zentralvietnam

<b>Process success (view of GTZ)</b>	<b>Suc.</b>	<b>Rel.</b>
Cooperation	46	19%
Management	4	14%
Advisory services	100	4%
Needs based intervention	29	3%
Material support	0	3%
Target group orientation	100	3%
Participation	100	3%

<b>Process success (view of the counterparts)</b>	<b>Suc.</b>	<b>Rel.</b>
Advisory services	26	14%
Cooperation	26	13%
Target group orientation	84	12%
Participation	100	7%
Help towards self-help	100	5%
Needs based intervention	100	3%
Minimum intervention	0	2%
Flexibility	29	1%

<b>Process success (view of the target group)</b>	<b>Suc.</b>	<b>Rel.</b>
Advisory services	5	11%
Target group orientation	100	8%
Participation	100	7%
Help towards self-help	100	6%
Monitoring/Evaluation	0	3%
Diversification	0	3%
Ownership	100	2%
Material support	0	2%
Cooperation	100	2%
Needs based intervention	0	2%

<b>Impacts (view of GTZ)</b>	<b>Suc.</b>	<b>Rel.</b>
Broad impact(s)	100	13%
Capacity Development	39	11%
Poverty reduction	100	10%
Sustainability	38	7%
Environmental protection	100	3%

<b>Impacts (view of the counterparts)</b>	<b>Suc.</b>	<b>Rel.</b>
Broad impact(s)	14	9%
Environmental protection	44	8%

Sustainability	16	6%
Capacity Development	0	6%
Poverty reduction	100	3%
Economic efficiency	29	2%

<b>Impacts (view of the target group)</b>	<b>Suc.</b>	<b>Rel.</b>
Education and training	27	13%
Sustainability	26	7%
Broad impact(s)	40	5%
Gender	32	5%
Poverty reduction	0	5%
Economic efficiency	100	4%
Capacity Development	100	3%

### 2000.2267.3 Nachhaltiges Management natürlicher Ressourcen in Zentralvietnam

<b>Fulfilment of success criterias today</b>	<b>Success</b>	<b>Var.</b>
Total	75	0
Living conditions of local population improved substantially	75	25
Project partners render efficient services to local popula.	75	25

<b>Fulfilment of success criterias in future</b>	<b>Success</b>	<b>Var.</b>
Total	75	0
Living conditions of local population improved substantially	75	25
Project partners render efficient services to local popula.	75	25

### 2000.2267.3 Nachhaltiges Management natürlicher Ressourcen in Zentralvietnam

<b>Contribution (view of GTZ)</b>	<b>Suc.</b>	<b>Var.</b>
Contribution of other stakeholders	75	7
Counterpart contribution	78	23
Contribution of GTZ office	50	0
German contribution (GTZ)	87	12
Contribution of counterpart "Provincial DPI"	80	22
Contribution of counterpart "DPC of Minh Hoa and Tuyen Hoa district"	78	24
Contribution of counterpart "PPC of Quang Binh"	78	23
Contribution of "IFAD funded Project"	65	9
Contribution of "ADB supported Project CACERP"	73	10
Contribution of "ADB supported Project CRLIP"	66	6
Contribution of "GTZ AP2015"	82	10

<b>Contribution (view of the counterparts)</b>	<b>Suc.</b>	<b>Var.</b>
Contribution of other stakeholders	77	2
Counterpart contribution	87	8
German contribution (GTZ)	83	15
Contribution of counterpart "Provincial DPI"	88	7
Contribution of counterpart "DPC of Minh Hoa and Tuyen Hoa district"	85	10
Contribution of counterpart "PPC of Quang Binh"	88	10
Contribution of "IFAD funded Project"	70	11
Contribution of "ADB supported Project CACERP"	73	7
Contribution of "ADB supported Project CRLIP"	73	7
Contribution of "GTZ AP2015"	78	2

<b>Contribution (view of the target group)</b>	<b>Suc.</b>	<b>Var.</b>
Contribution of other stakeholders	54	8
Counterpart contribution	74	3
German contribution (GTZ)	80	8
Contribution of counterpart "Provincial DPI"	79	2
Contribution of counterpart "DPC of Minh Hoa and Tuyen Hoa district"	77	3
Contribution of counterpart "PPC of Quang Binh"	80	5
Contribution of "IFAD funded Project"	58	4
Contribution of "ADB supported Project CACERP"	59	6
Contribution of "ADB supported Project CRLIP"	56	4
Contribution of "GTZ AP2015"	55	4

## 2000.2267.3 Nachhaltiges Management natürlicher Ressourcen in Zentralvietnam

### Strengths (view of GTZ)

Living conditions of local population improved substantially
Project partners render efficient services to local popula.
Project partners render efficient services to local popula.
Project partners render efficient services to local popula.
Living conditions of local population improved substantially
Living conditions of local population improved substantially
Project partners render efficient services to local popula.
increase incomes from non-farm activities
direct financial support for VDP implementation
forest will be managed sustainably by local communities
Rights to forest benefits via CBFM
indispensible additional fund and human resource
VDP/ CDP dissemination achieved in 3 provinces
available mechanism for VDP application for all levels
Project approaches replicated in a sustainable way
Basic set-up for the project is in place
Contributing to secure tenureship on forest land
Setting up good org.structure for project implementation
More realistic approach toward farming system dev.
Additional fund from AP 2015 for VDP dissemination
Close & good cooperation between GTZ & partners
Harmonization of planning approaches in project region
Better understanding of project concepts by partners
Implementation of participatory method by stakeholders
Apply appropriate participatory approach

### Strengths (view of the counterparts)

Promote more off-farm activities
Community forest projection is well implemented
extent VDP to a larger scale
Availability of VDP Trainers from colleges in the region
Focus on critical issues but not on a wide range issues
Participatory approaches applied
Strong participation & support from local authorities
Income generation from selling traditional handicrafts
not yet focused on communes with ethnic minority
DPI take the active role in planning reform
Local people directly benefited from project activities

Farming system must reflect people's needs and reality
Other projects committed to harmonise their planning
Harmonization, integration among In't Projects in place
Updated & regular communication system operated
Focus on training and implementation of Agro extension
Reduce dependence attitude of the poor
consult, assist local people access to package services
linking local producers & market consumers
There should be a eva.workshop on VDP lessons learnt

**Strengths (view of the target group)**

Bee keeping activities should be expanded
Project experiences are drawn and shared
Project focuses on some key activities
Provide incentive to involve women's participation
Support to have forest ownership by HHs, Community
Introduce & apply participatory LUP-LA approaches
Project listens to people's demand
People can generate income from the allocated land
Create income for ethnic groups by traditional handicraft
Project promotes self-help of the poor
Advertise farmers products on mass media
Strengthen local people's capacity via training
Farmers enhance internal sources on production
availability of trainers on bee keeping at village level
Consult, provide package services to local people
People do not access to necessary services after LA

## 2000.2267.3 Nachhaltiges Management natürlicher Ressourcen in Zentralvietnam

### Weaknesses (view of GTZ)

Partner organizations are not able to render efficient sers.
Living conditions of local population have deteriorated
Partner organizations are not able to render efficient sers.
Being to ambitious
Difficult to reach planned progress
No redbook certificate issued
cooperation mechanism with ADB CRLIP is not in place
GTZ works independently from partners
Limited capacity cannot guarantee good quality of VDP
Limited capacity of project partner at district level
Lack of financial means for field activities

### Weaknesses (view of the counterparts)

Boundaries of allocated land are not clear
VDP guidelines are only applied in project area
Demonstrations focus on Minh Hoa and Tuyen Hoa
Limited budget makes demonstration less convincing
No possibility for income generation for poor people
No integration of projects active on the same locality
Management skills of the project director is limited
Lack of long-term local agricultural consultant
Project implementation progress is behind schedule
Appropriate farming models are not existed
local producers are not linked to outputs markets

### Weaknesses (view of the target group)

No processing techniques for dry bamboo shoot (forest)
Training on credit hasn't been organised
No extension workers available
Sucessful models are not replicated in other districts
Lack of clear direction supporting people after LUP-LA
Lack of cooperation on monitoring of proj. implemen.
Economic development models are not diversified
Honey from Bee keeping activies are not favourable.
People do not access to necessary services after LA
Follow-up of project activities are not proper
Lack of fund on LUP-LA implementation

### 2000.2267.3 Nachhaltiges Management natürlicher Ressourcen in Zentralvietnam

Frequency of topics	Used in
Cooperation	66%
Target group orientation	58%
Broad impact(s)	58%
Capacity Development	50%
Advisory services	50%
Sustainability	41%
Participation	41%
Poverty reduction	33%
Needs based intervention	25%
Help towards self-help	25%
Management	25%
Education and training	16%
Gender	16%
Economic efficiency	16%
Environmental protection	16%
Material support	16%
Flexibility	8%
Monitoring/Evaluation	8%
Ownership	8%
Diversification	8%
Minimum intervention	8%
Health	-
Integrated interventions	-
Decentralization	-
Market economy	-
Civil society	-
Conflict management	-
Political impacts	-
Legal certainty	-

#### User-defined topics

improvement of training material
Afforestation